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Motions and votes

The following is a record of motions made and votes taken between June 17, 2011 and December 19, 2011:

A. **CC:DA/JSC Rep/2011/1, Affiliation:** The motion was to approve the proposal for revision of RDA 9.13 Affiliation, modified by changing Position within Affiliated Body to Nature of the Affiliation. There were eight votes in favor and none opposed; the motion carried. The proposal was sent to the JSC as 6JSC/ALA/3.

B. **CC:DA/MLA/2011/2, Artistic and/or Technical Credit:** The motion was to approve the proposal and incorporate into the proposal wording from the discussion on the wiki that would generalize the instructions to cover all resources. There were eight votes in favor, and none opposed; the motion carried. The proposal was sent to the JSC as 6JSC/ALA/4.

C. **CC:DA/MLA/2011/1, Container as a Source:** The motion was to approve the proposal as modified by discussions on the CC:DA wiki. Discussion indicated a need to take a more comprehensive look at the instructions for sources of information. There were 2 votes in favor and six opposed; the motion was rejected. The Committee subsequently approved the formation of a task force to continue work on this topic.

D. **CC:DA/AALL/2010/1/Rev.:** The motion was to approve the revised AALL proposal on Places in certain federations as modified by discussions on the CC:DA wiki and the discussion list. During discussion, it became clear that issues could not be resolved in time to complete action before the deadline for proposals, and the motion was withdrawn.

E. **6JSC/LC/1, RDA Appendix A:** The motion was to authorize the JSC representative to provide the ALA response based on committee discussion. There were six votes in favor and none opposed; the motion carried.

F. **6JSC/LC/2, Date of manufacture:** The motion was to authorize the JSC representative to provide the ALA response based on committee discussion. There were six votes in favor and none opposed; the motion carried.
G. 6JSC/LC/3, Names not conveying the idea of corporate body; 6JSC/LC/4, Punctuation guidance for “see also” references; 6JSC/LC/6, Corporate bodies considered to be creators: The motion was to authorize the JSC representative to provide ALA’s responses to the proposals based on committee discussion. There were seven votes in favor and none opposed; the motion carried.

H. 6JSC/LC/5, Clarifying Date associated with the person; 6JSC/LC/7, Changes in content characteristics; 6JSC/LC/8, RDA 6.27.1.2 and 6.27.1.3: The motion was to authorize the JSC representative to provide ALA’s responses to the proposals based on committee discussion. There were seven votes in favor and none opposed; the motion carried.

I. 6JSC/LC Rep/2, Selections: The motion was to authorize the JSC representative to provide the ALA response based on committee discussion. There were six votes in favor and none opposed; the motion carried.

J. 6JSC/LC Rep/3, Group 3 entities and “subject”; 6JSC/CILIP/1: The motion was to authorize the JSC representative to represent CC:DA’s perspective in the ALA response based on committee discussion. There were seven votes in favor and none opposed; the motion carried.

K. 6JSC/ACOC/1, Names written in a non-preferred script: The motion was to authorize the JSC representative to provide the ALA response based on committee discussion. There were seven votes in favor and none opposed; the motion carried.

L. 6JSC/ACOC/2, Recording Place of Production, etc.: The motion was to authorize the JSC representative to provide the ALA response based on committee discussion. There were seven votes in favor and none opposed; the motion carried.

M. 6JSC/ACOC/3, Edition and Named Revision of an Edition: The motion was to authorize the JSC representative to provide the ALA response based on committee discussion. There were seven votes in favor and none opposed; the motion carried.

N. 6JSC/CCC/1, Glossary definition of vocal score; 6JSC/CCC/2, RDA 6.14.2.8, etc. (Numbering for compilations); 6JSC/CCC/3, RDA 6.15.1.4 (Instrumental music, one performer); 6JSC/CCC/4, RDA 6.15.1.7, Groups of instruments; 6JSC/CCC/5, RDA 6.15.1.12, Accompaniment for Songs, Lieder, Etc.: The motion was to authorize the JSC representative to provide ALA’s responses to the proposals based on committee discussion. There were seven votes in favor and none opposed; the motion carried.

O. 6JSC/CILIP/2, Date of signing a treaty: The motion was to authorize the JSC representative to provide the ALA response based on committee discussion. There were seven votes in favor and none opposed; the motion carried.

P. 6JSC/CILIP/3, Field of Activity, Profession: The motion was to authorize the JSC representative to provide the ALA response based on committee discussion. There were seven votes in favor and none opposed; the motion carried.
Q. 6JSC/Chair/3, Initial Articles: The motion was to authorize the JSC representative to provide the ALA response based on committee discussion. There were six votes in favor and none opposed; the motion carried.

R. 6JSC/BL/1, Title of Person: The motion was to authorize the JSC representative to provide the ALA response based on committee discussion. There were six votes in favor and none opposed; the motion carried.

S. Establishment of Task Force on Sources of Information: The motion was to form a task force to review the current instructions under RDA 2.1 and 2.2 with regard to addressing previously identified concerns of MLA and OLAC and adopting a more principled approach to instructions for sources of information. There were seven votes in favor and none opposed; the motion carried. The task force was charged and appointed.

Other actions/Details

- Updating committee documentation:
  
The document “How to Submit a Rule Change Proposal to CC:DA” is being revised by a Task Force to reflect changes for RDA. The revision will be discussed at the Midwinter meeting and will be posted to the CC:DA web site upon approval. Interim guidelines for submitting a proposal to revise RDA are available on the web site.

The Committee Procedures document is being updated to reflect name changes for the Cataloging and Metadata Management Section (CaMMS, formerly Cataloging and Classification Section, CCS) and LC’s Policy and Standards Division (PSD, formerly Cataloging Policy and Support Office, CPSO) and to document SAC’s role in formulating the ALA response to issues related to subject in RDA. The revision will be discussed at the Midwinter meeting and forwarded to CaMMS for approval.

The Orientation document for new members and liaisons is being updated in light of RDA, the name change for CaMMS, and changes in the JSC work schedule. The revised document will be posted to the CC:DA web site.

The externally-focused document, Building Descriptive Cataloging Standards: the Role of the American Library Association’s Committee on Cataloging: Description and Access, will be revised in 2012. This document was previously published as a brochure and posted on the web site; it will be revised as a web document only.